Electrostatic versus Nonelectrostatic Effects in DNA Sequence Discrimination by Divalent Ions Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$
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Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ ions are critical to the functioning of phosphoryl transfer enzymes, such as restriction endonucleases. Although these ions play similar roles in the chemical steps, they govern substrate specificity via modulating sequence discrimination by up to a factor of $10^5$ with Mg$^{2+}$ and only up to a factor of 10 with Mn$^{2+}$. To explain whether such diversity originates in fundamental differences in the electronic structures of the nucleo-base–hydrated-metal ion complexes, structures and interaction energies were determined at the density functional (DFT) and second-order Møller-Plesset (MP2) levels of theory. Although both metal ions favor identical binding sites, Mn$^{2+}$ complexes exhibit greater distortions from the ideal octahedral geometry and larger variability than the corresponding Mg$^{2+}$ systems. In inner-shell complexes, with direct contact between the metal and the nucleobase, Mg$^{2+}$ is preferred over Mn$^{2+}$ in the gas phase, due primarily to nonelectrostatic effects. The interaction energies of the two metal ions are more similar in the outer-shell complexes, likely due to reduced charge transfer between the hydrated metal ion and the base moieties. Inclusion of solvation effects can amplify the relative nucleobase preferences of Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$, indicating that bulk hydration modulates the balance between electrostatic and nonelectrostatic terms. In most cases, the base substitutions in solution are facilitated more by Mn$^{2+}$ than by Mg$^{2+}$. Electrostatic properties of the environment were demonstrated to have a major influence on the nucleobase preferences of the two metal ions. Overall, quantum chemical calculations suggest that the contrasting selectivity of Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ cofactors toward nucleobases derives from the larger flexibility of the Mn$^{2+}$ complexes accompanied by the excessive polarization and charge-transfer effects as well as less favorable solvation.

Introduction

Divalent metal ions are critical to the proper functioning of various biomolecules. These ions can assemble and stabilize protein structures and can induce complex formation with their substrates. Many enzymes utilize them as cofactors to facilitate chemical conversions. Divalent metal ions play a distinguished role in nucleic acid biochemistry. In the catalytic machinery of enzymatic phosphoryl transfer, they act as Lewis acids to reduce the accumulation of negative charge in the transition state. Divalent metal ions are crucial for folding of RNA as well as for the catalytic machinery of ribozymes. Magnesium and calcium ions were reported to interfere with the structure of DNA in a sequence specific manner that is dependent on the ion type as well. Mg$^{2+}$ ions primarily bind to phosphate groups of the DNA backbone via a solvent molecule in a so-called outer-shell mode. Divalent metal ions were also observed to penetrate into the grooves, where by crosslinking the base atoms of the opposite strands, they can modify the groove width and promote kinking of the DNA. Such sequence-specific structural changes can govern the interaction of drug molecules with DNA. For example, the selective binding of the antitumor antibiotics myrhamycin is assisted by simultaneous coordination of Mg$^{2+}$ ions to the minor groove of the Z-DNA and to the drug molecule.

Out of the versatile roles of divalent metal ions in biochemistry, the present work has been motivated by the diversity of metal ion functions in restriction endonucleases. These enzymes protect prokaryotic organisms from invading phages by recognizing a 4–6 base pair long palindromic sequence of the foreign DNA and catalyzing the scission of the backbone at a given position. In the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ ions a remarkable substrate-specificity can be observed characterized by a binding constant ($K_M$) that is increased by 3 orders of magnitude and a reaction rate ($k_{cat}$) that is decreased by 6 orders of magnitude upon a single base pair change in the cognate sequence. Such stringent sequence discrimination drops significantly with Mn$^{2+}$ ions; for the EcoRV enzyme by a factor of 10$^3$ when activities of the specific GATATC and the noncognate GTTATC sequence are compared. Furthermore, Mn$^{2+}$ ions often promote “star activity”, when a noncognate sequence differing by one base pair from the substrate sequence is processed. We hypothesize that since the presence of divalent metal cations is often required for specific binding of DNA to restriction enzymes, these metal ions can serve as “markers” of the sequence.

To probe this idea we investigated the selectivity of Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ ions toward nucleic acid bases. Although in protein–DNA complexes these ions are exquisitely coordinated to the scissile phosphate to facilitate phosphoryl-transfer, in free DNA they are attached to the base atoms as well. Such indirect interactions support sequence-specific structural ele-
ments and modulate the electrostatic properties of the surrounding nucleotides to enhance steric and electrostatic complementarity with the binding site of the protein. These metal-ion-dependent features can "label" the cognate DNA site and induce specific binding to the protein. Upon complex formation the divalent metal ions are either replaced by the protein residues or shifted to their final position at the active center. In contrast, the original metal ion positions serve to guide the protein to its specific site. Thus differences in metal ion preferences toward nucleobases can reveal the reasons for altered sequence discrimination by Mg\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) ions.

Previous ab initio electronic structure calculations demonstrated that the metal ion preferences can differ even at a single base pair level: Guanine at the N7 position prefers Zn\(^{2+}\) over Mg\(^{2+}\) ions and their interaction energies deviate considerably due to the altered balance between the metal–base and metal–water interactions. A marked difference between the flexibility of the hydration shell of the two metal ions was also observed. Even in the case of a single guanine nucleobase, the diversity between the Mg\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) binding could be explained based on differences in the electronic structure of the complexes of the two metal ions that cannot be captured by simple pairwise additive energy terms. In the gas phase the stability of tetra-, penta-, and hexahydrated Mg\(^{2+}\) complexes with guanine was shown to be related to the charge transfer between the two units. The importance of charge transfer and polarization contributions to Mg\(^{2+}\)–G interactions was also demonstrated by taking the effect of bulk solvation into account.

In spite of the biological importance of Mn\(^{2+}\) ions in DNA chemistry, the structural and electronic properties of hydrated Mn\(^{2+}\) ions in complexes formed with the four nucleobases have never been studied before. In this work both inner- and outer-shell forms (i.e., penta- or hexahydrated forms) have been investigated and the structural properties were compared to the corresponding Mg\(^{2+}\) complexes. Geometry optimizations were carried out for all possible coordination sites. Interaction energies were determined at the Møller-Plesset (MP2) level including basis set superposition error (BSSE) correction. We found that Mn\(^{2+}\) complexes, as compared to those of Mg\(^{2+}\) ions, are more flexible and can be characterized with smaller (negative) interaction energy. Differences between the interaction energies of the four nucleobases with the hydrated metal ions, however, vary for Mg\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) reflecting altered selectivity of the two metal ions. Furthermore, differences in interaction energies obtained in the gas phase can be increased upon including the solvation effect. To understand this phenomenon in more detail, we partitioned the interaction energy in the gas phase according to the Natural Energy Decomposition Analysis (NEDA) scheme. We observed that the so-called nonelectrostatic, charge transfer and polarization terms vary remarkably for Mg\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\). The molecular factors identified in the present study can rationalize the altered sequence discrimination of the two metal ions.

**Computational Details. Models.** Inner- and outer-shell complexes of Mg\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) with adenine (A), guanine (G), cytosine (C), and thymine (T) nucleobases were constructed using standard nucleobase structures within the GaussView program. Inner-shell complexes included a direct coordination between the metal ion and the nucleobase, i.e., contained a pentahydrated metal ion site. In the outer-shell complexes the interaction between the metal ion and the base was mediated by one or more water molecule(s); i.e., including a hexahydrated metal ion. For inner-shell complexes, the following possible coordination sites were considered: N1, N3, and N7 for A, O6 and N7 for G, O2 for C, and O2 and O4 for T (see Figure 1 for nomenclature). In the case of outer-shell complexes, various coordination sites were studied at the Hartree–Fock (HF) level using the 3-21G basis set and using density-functional theory (DFT/B3LYP) with the 6-31G** basis set. Only structures with the three lowest energies were included for further analysis: N6/N7, N1 and N3 for A, N7/O6 for G, N1/O2 for C, and O2 and O4 for T, where x/y means a bidentate coordination to two nucleobase atoms. Starting structural parameters of the hydrated metal ions have been taken from an idealized octahedral structure. Initial coordination distances of the metal ions to the nucleobase atoms and to the oxygens of water were adopted from coordination distances of the
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HF/3-21G optimized structures of the hydrated metal ions. The investigated models are schematically shown in Figure 1, all studied arrangements are displayed in the Supporting Information (Figure S1).

Optimized Structures. Geometry optimizations were carried out in two steps. First, all structures have been optimized at the HF/3-21G level. Then, the resulting structures were subjected to further optimizations using the B3LYP variant of density-functional theory. The 6-31G** basis set was employed for the Mg$^{2+}$ complexes, similarly to previous studies, while for the Mn$^{2+}$ complexes, the pVDZ$^{57}$ basis set was applied. Further basis sets were also tested: the CRENBL pseudo-potential of Christiansen$^{58}$ for the Mn$^{2+}$ and the pVTZ$^{57}$ basis set for both ions. The pVDZ basis was selected based on the computational cost and the marginal difference between the geometries of the optimized structures obtained with the different basis sets. The structures derived from the DFT(B3LYP)/6-311G** complexes and DFT(B3LYP)/pVDZ(Mn$^{2+}$ complexes) optimizations with the lowest MP2/pVTZ$^{58}$ interaction energies were subjected to further analysis.

Interaction Energies. To test the basis set dependence of the interaction energies, a series of interaction energy calculations were carried out at the DFT(B3LYP) level using five different basis sets: 6-31G**, 6-311G**, pVDZ, VTZ, and pVTZ for the G-O6 and G-N7 inner-shell and the G N7/O6 outer-shell complex of Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ metal cations. The 6-311++G(2d,2p)$^{51,56}$ basis set was also applied for the Mg$^{2+}$ complexes. Based on the convergence of the resulting interaction energies, the MP2/pVTZ method was selected to compute the interaction energies for all optimized complexes. The interaction energies were corrected for BSSE as follows:

$$E_{\text{int}}(AB) = E_{\text{AB}}(AB) - E_A(AB) - E_B(AB) \quad (1)$$

where $E_{\text{int}}$ is the interaction energy, $E_{\text{AB}}$ is the total energy of the complex, and $E_A(AB)$ and $E_B(AB)$ are the monomer energies calculated in the presence of the full basis set of the dimer. We considered the hydrated metal ion (A) and the nucleobase (B) as interacting monomer units. The geometry optimizations and the interaction energy calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 03$^{62}$ program package.

Gas-Phase Interaction-Energy Decomposition. Contributions of the different components to the total interaction energy were estimated by the NEDA partitioning scheme at the HF level. Since the correlation-consistent basis sets cc-pVXZ, X = 2, 3, 4$^{61}$ provide a reliable partitioning of the interaction energy, the cc-pVDZ basis set was employed for the energy decomposition analysis on the C, O, N, H, and Mg atoms, whereas the cc-pVTZ set$^{64}$ was employed for the Mn atom. In the framework of the NEDA approach, the interaction energy is expressed as:

$$E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{ES}} + E_{\text{POL}} + E_{\text{CT}} + E_{\text{EX}} + E_{\text{DEF}} \quad (2)$$

where $E_{\text{int}}$ is the interaction energy, $E_{\text{ES}}$ is the electrostatic, $E_{\text{POL}}$ is the polarization, $E_{\text{CT}}$ is the charge transfer, $E_{\text{EX}}$ is the exchange, and $E_{\text{DEF}}$ is the deformation energy component. NEDA calculations were performed with the NBO 5.0$^{55,56}$ program linked to the GAMESS$^{67,68}$ package.

Solvation Energies. The effect of hydration on the interaction energies was computed according to the thermodynamic cycle presented in Figure 2,

$$\Delta G_{\text{aq int}} = \Delta G_{\text{g int}} + \Delta G_{\text{MeB solv}} - (\Delta G_{\text{Me solv}} + \Delta G_{\text{B solv}}) \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta G_{\text{g int}}$ and $\Delta G_{\text{aq int}}$ are the Gibbs interaction free-energies between the hydrated metal ions (Me) and the nucleobase (B) in the gas phase and in aqueous solution, respectively, while $\Delta G_{\text{MeB solv}}$ is the solvation free-energy of the X (Me, B or MeB) species.

The polarizable continuum model (PCM$^{69,70}$ at the DFT(B3LYP)/6-31G* level was applied to compute the solvation free-energies of the penta- and hexahydrated metal ions, the nucleobases, and the complexes. Changes in monomer structures upon complex formation were ignored and all charges were refitted for the hydration free-energy calculation. $\Delta G_{\text{g int}}$ was assumed to approximate $E_{\text{int}}$ computed in the gas phase; hence, neglecting entropic effects.$^{34}$ Hydration free-energies were determined using three different dielectric coefficient values: 40, 60, and 78.4. The hydration free-energies were obtained by the Gaussian 03 package.$^{42}$

Results and Discussion

Structures. The nucleobase complexes were optimized with metal ions coordinated at all possible sites, as described in the Methods Section. All the resulting structures are displayed in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information. The complexes with the lowest gas-phase interaction energies exhibit identical coordination sites for the two metal ions. For the inner-shell complexes, these are N7 for A, O6 for G, O2 for C, and O4 for T. For the outer-shell complexes, these are N7/N6 for A, N7/O6 for G, O2/N1 for C, and O4 for T.

Analysis of the optimized structures was based on (i) the strength of the interaction between the metal and the nucleobase, and (ii) the distortions of the coordination sphere from the ideal octahedral structure. The representative structural parameters are summarized in Table 1. Despite the difference between the ionic radii of the Mn$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ ions, 0.80 Å vs 0.65 Å,$^{71}$ the length of the metal−base contacts are surprisingly similar in the inner-shell complexes of the two metal ions, with deviations less than 0.07 Å between them. In outer-shell complexes the metal ion has little impact on the distance between the water molecules coordinated directly to the base and the contacting base atoms. Larger disparities can be observed in the coordination distances to the water molecules: Mn$^{2+}$ binds to the coordinated water molecules more loosely than the Mg$^{2+}$ ions, with coordination distances larger by 0.12 Å in average. Furthermore, the lengths of the water coordination distances vary more for Mn$^{2+}$, indicating a more flexible hydration sphere (see standard deviations in Table 1). In accordance with this observation, the angles of both the axial and apical ligands in the Mn$^{2+}$−nucleobase complexes deviate more from the ideal structure than the corresponding parameters of the Mg$^{2+}$ complexes. Interestingly, the structures of the outer-shell complexes differ from the ideal octahedral geometry as much as the inner-shell complexes. The larger flexibility of the outer-shell Mn$^{2+}$ complexes can lead to qualitative differences in the hydrogen-bonding patterns. For example, in the case of the N6/ N7 outer-shell complex with A, an extra hydrogen bond is
formed between one of the water molecules and N6 of A. Another example is the O2/N3 cytosine outer-shell complex, in which a bond with N3 breaks and a new one with O2 forms in the Mn2+ complex. Such rearrangements in the hydrogen-bonding network cannot take place in the corresponding Mg2+ complexes due to the rigidity of the coordination sphere.

**Interaction Energies.** The gas-phase BSSE-corrected interaction energies (E_int) of the penta- and hexahydrated metal ions with nucleobases, computed at the MP2/pVTZ level, are summarized in Table 2 for the complexes with lowest interaction energies, whereas results for all models are displayed in the Supporting Information (Table S1).

All inner-shell complexes favor Mg2+ over Mn2+, as reflected by the larger negative E_int values. Discrepancies between the Mg2+ and Mn2+ interaction energies increase in the outer-shell complexes: purine bases (G and A) favor Mg2+, while pyrimidines (T and C) prefer Mn2+. The affinities of nucleobases for the metal ions correlate neither with nucleobase-metal ion separation nor with the magnitude of distortion from the ideal octahedral structure. The differences between the E_int values of the four nucleobases are dependent on the metal ion type, especially for outer-shell complexes, where they are smaller for the Mn2+ complexes (see discussion below).

Surprisingly, E_int values are significantly more favorable for G and C than for A and T with either metal ions. The gap between the interaction energies of G versus A and C versus T is in the range of 26–30 kcal mol⁻¹ for the inner-shell complexes of both the Mg2+ and Mn2+ ions. The structural parameters do not provide apparent explanation for this as the metal-ion–nucleobase coordination distances do not show considerable deviations. For outer-shell complexes, the contact distances between the water and the coordinated nucleobase atoms exhibit a weak correlation with the variation of the E_int values. The difference between the G versus A and C versus T interaction energies decreases in the outer-shell complexes as compared to the inner-shell ones. This decrease is due to the screening effect of the sixth water molecule, suggesting that the origin of the effect is electrostatic. The discrepancies between the E_int values of the nucleobases are smaller in the Mn2+ complexes than in the Mg2+ ones, which might implicate a larger charge transfer in these systems.

**Energy Partitioning.** To reveal the origin of the differences between the interaction energies of the Mg2+ and Mn2+ complexes as well as deviations between the E_int values of G versus A and C versus T complexes with either metal ions, we decomposed the interaction energy according to the NEDA partition scheme with results presented in Table 3. For the Mg2+ complexes, the interaction energies obtained by NEDA at the HF/cc-pVDZ level approximated the values computed at the MP2/pVTZ level within ±5 kcal mol⁻¹. The electric term, including the electrostatic, polarization, and self-response components, differs in a larger extent for the G versus A and C versus T bases than the core term, comprising the exchange, deformation, and electronic self-energy components. Out of the three electric components, the electrostatic term deviates most significantly, by 25.6 kcal mol⁻¹ for A and G and by 36.3 kcal mol⁻¹ for T and C. It reveals that the difference in the E_int values of the different nucleobases is mostly due to electrostatic

---

### Table 1: Characteristic Structural Parameters of Nucleobase Complexes with Mg2+ and Mn2+ Ions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Mn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A-N7</td>
<td>G-O6</td>
<td>A-N7</td>
<td>G-O6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(WMe)</td>
<td>2.108±0.025</td>
<td>2.094±0.029</td>
<td>2.224±0.035</td>
<td>2.204±0.044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(MeB)</td>
<td>2.200</td>
<td>2.040</td>
<td>2.210</td>
<td>2.110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(MeCM)</td>
<td>3.872</td>
<td>4.423</td>
<td>3.854</td>
<td>4.480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>92.767±3.308</td>
<td>93.188±4.826</td>
<td>94.989±5.416</td>
<td>95.001±4.368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 2: Gas-Phase Interaction Energies of Nucleobases with Hydrated Mg2+ and Mn2+ Ions Obtained at the MP2 Level Using the 6-311+G(2d,2p) and pVTZ Basis Sets, Respectively, with BSSE Corrections Included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Mn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A-N7</td>
<td>G-O6</td>
<td>A-N7</td>
<td>G-O6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(WMe)</td>
<td>2.088±0.026</td>
<td>2.110±0.023</td>
<td>2.192±0.029</td>
<td>2.224±0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(MeB)</td>
<td>2.040</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.120</td>
<td>2.040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(MeCM)</td>
<td>4.153</td>
<td>4.180</td>
<td>4.226</td>
<td>4.390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ</td>
<td>91.396±4.887</td>
<td>92.782±2.376</td>
<td>92.548±6.180</td>
<td>96.473±3.429</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<a>R</a> is the distance (in Å) between the coordinated nucleobase atoms (B), the metal ions (Me), and the oxygen atoms of water (W), whereas CM designates the center of mass of the nucleobase. ζ and φ characterize the angular deviations (in degrees) from the ideal octahedral geometry, where ζ is the deviation of the apical atoms from linearity and φ is computed for the equatorial atoms (see Figure 1 for numbering of the atoms).
effects. The charge-transfer term also provides important, 19.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) contribution to the interaction energy difference between T and C. The effect of polarization is controversial. Polarization is more favorable for the G than the A complex, but for C versus T it differs to a smaller extent in the opposite direction (more negative for the T complex). The differences in the deformation terms indicate that wave functions of G and C undergo larger distortions upon association with hydrated Mg\(^{2+}\) than those of A and T.

For Mn\(^{2+}\), the partitioning of the interaction energies could only be performed for the A and T complexes. For the G and C complexes a formal shift of one electron occurred from the base to the metal ion, leading to a strong deviation between the sum of the contributions and the total \(E_{\text{int}}\) value obtained at the HF/cc-pVDZ level. This formal one-electron shift is probably due to a problem in the NBO search algorithm (personal communication with E.D. Glendening).

The differences between the electric and core components of the interaction energy for the corresponding A and T complexes with Mn\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) appear to be significant: the electric terms are more favorable for the inner-shell Mn\(^{2+}\) complexes, while the core terms change in the opposite direction. Out of the three electric components, the largest deviation is seen for the polarization term of the A–Mn\(^{2+}\) and T–Mn\(^{2+}\) complexes that exceeds the corresponding values of the Mg\(^{2+}\) complexes by 94 and 85 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. Mn\(^{2+}\) with open d orbitals is more polarizable than Mg\(^{2+}\) without them, and this allows a smaller charge separation between the metal ion and the nucleobase. Consequently, the charge-transfer term was also found to be larger for the Mn\(^{2+}\) than for the Mg\(^{2+}\) complexes. Increase of the polarization and charge-transfer components is accompanied by significantly larger deformation energies (by 98 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for A and 80 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for T) indicating a more severe wave function distortion of the Mn\(^{2+}\) as compared to the Mg\(^{2+}\) complexes. In the outer-shell complexes, the insertion of the sixth water ligand remarkably suppresses the polarization term, resulting in better agreement between the \(E_{\text{int}}\) values of Mg\(^{2+}\) and Mn\(^{2+}\) complexes. Although the charge-transfer terms also decrease in the outer-shell Mn\(^{2+}\) complexes as compared to the inner-shell ones, they still considerably exceed the corresponding Mg\(^{2+}\) values. Hence, in spite of the 50\% reduction of the distortion terms as compared to the inner-shell complexes, they are still significantly larger in the Mn\(^{2+}\) than in the Mg\(^{2+}\) outer-shell complexes.
TABLE 5: Base-Substitution Energies in the Gas-Phase
Expressed as $\Delta E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{int}}(\text{row}) - E_{\text{int}}(\text{col})$ for the Mg$^{2+}$ Complexes (Lower Triangle) and $\Delta E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{int}}(\text{row}) - E_{\text{int}}(\text{col})$ for the Mn$^{2+}$ Complexes (Upper Triangle), where $E_{\text{int}}(\text{col})$ and $E_{\text{int}}(\text{row})$ are the Interaction Energies of the Hydrated Metal Ion with Nucleobases Displayed in Rows and Columns, Respectively$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>−23.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>−24.7</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>−26.0</td>
<td>−2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>−22.3</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$: I: inner-shell complexes. II: outer-shell complexes. All values are given in kcal mol$^{-1}$.

with the exception of the hydration free-energies of Mn$^{2+}$ outer-shell complexes. In this case, the order of the interaction free-energies do not follow the gas-phase trend so that $\Delta G^0$ of C is less favorable than that of T. The observations presented below express the general behavior of metal ion complexes in the three dielectric media, any deviations will be mentioned explicitly.

The correction to the gas-phase interaction energy ($\Delta G^0$) derived from the hydration free-energies of the complex and the hydrated metal ion and base moieties inversely correlates with the $E_{\text{int}}$ values in the gas phase: it is the least positive for A and largest for G. Similarly to their values in the gas phase, interaction free-energies of the G and C complexes of both metal ions in solution are more negative than those of A and T in both binding modes (with the exception of T and C in the outer-shell complex of Mn$^{2+}$, as mentioned above). Due to the decreased desolvation energy of the nucleobase, the C–Mg$^{2+}$ and the C–Mn$^{2+}$ complexes have the largest (negative) interaction energies in solution, in contrast to the G–Mg$^{2+}$ and G–Mn$^{2+}$ complexes in the gas phase. As expected, solvation free-energies of the Mn$^{2+}$ complexes are smaller (less negative) than those of the Mg$^{2+}$ complexes, likely due to the result of larger polarization and charge-transfer effects. The differences in complex hydration free-energies become smaller with the decreasing dielectric constant. The solvation energies of the hydrated Mn$^{2+}$ ions are also smaller than those of Mg$^{2+}$, which also derives from differences in the polarization and charge-transfer terms. As expected, the deviation between the solvation free-energies of the hexahydrated Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ ions decreases as compared to the penta-hydrated forms. Similarly to the gas phase, the interaction energies of the Mg$^{2+}$ inner-shell complexes are larger (more negative) than those of Mn$^{2+}$, whereas Mn$^{2+}$ is preferred in outer-shell complexes in solution. As compared to the gas phase, the deviations between the interaction energies of the four nucleobase complexes decrease substantially in solution, due to screening of the electrostatic interactions in the bulk phase.

Base-Substitution Energies. To elucidate the origin of the alternate selectivity of the metal ions, we computed the base-substitution energies as the difference of the appropriate gas-phase interaction energies (Table 5). The $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ values indicate significant variations in the preference of the two metal ions for the different nucleobases. The G → A, G → T, A → C, and C → T substitutions are accompanied by a large change in the interaction energy, whereas the G → C and A → T replacements are energetically less demanding in both the inner and outer-shell complexes of either metal ion. This can be explained by the large gap between the interaction energies of G versus A and C versus T that was discussed above. Interestingly, differences between the base substitution energies of the Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ complexes in the gas phase are relatively small, especially for the inner-shell complexes, the largest $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ is of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$, and Mn$^{2+}$ complexes differ more than in the inner-shell case. The largest deviations are seen for the G → C and A → C replacements, where $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ is −4.5 and −3.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The differences between the base-substitution energies of the two metal ions are also considerable for the G → T and C → T replacements, 2.4 and 1.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. Inclusion of the sixth water ligand affects the polarization and charge-transfer terms in a larger extent for Mn$^{2+}$ than for Mg$^{2+}$, especially in the outer-shell complexes. Based on these results we hypothesized that incorporating the effect of bulk hydration into the interaction energies will result in lower, more realistic base substitution free-energies with greater difference between the two metal ions. Base substitution free-energies computed with $\Delta G_{\text{int}}$ values presented in Table 4 using a dielectric constant of 40 are summarized in Table 6. Base substitution free-energies obtained using $\epsilon = 60$ and $\epsilon = 78.4$ are presented in Table S4 and Table S5 of the Supporting Information.

As expected, the magnitude of the base substitution free-energies in solution lowered considerably as compared to the gas-phase values. This, however, does not increase the difference between the base substitution free-energies computed for the inner-shell complexes of the two metal ions. Similarly to the gas phase, base substitution free-energies vary for the two metal ions decreases as compared to the penta-hydrated forms. Similarly to the gas phase, the interaction energies of the Mg$^{2+}$ inner-shell complexes are larger (more negative) than those of Mn$^{2+}$, whereas Mn$^{2+}$ is preferred in outer-shell complexes in solution. As compared to the gas phase, the deviations between the interaction energies of the four nucleobase complexes decrease substantially in solution, due to screening of the electrostatic interactions in the bulk phase.

TABLE 6: Base-Substitution Free Energies in Solution
Obtained by the PCM Model, Computed as $\Delta E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{int}}(\text{row}) - E_{\text{int}}(\text{col})$ for the Mg$^{2+}$ Complexes (Lower Triangle) and $\Delta E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{int}}(\text{row}) - E_{\text{int}}(\text{col})$ for the Mn$^{2+}$ Complexes (Upper Triangle), where $E_{\text{int}}(\text{col})$ and $E_{\text{int}}(\text{row})$ are the Interaction Energies of the Hydrated Metal Ion with Nucleobases Displayed in Rows and Columns, Respectively$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>−1.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>−3.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>−2.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$: I: inner-shell complexes. II: outer-shell complexes. All values are given in kcal mol$^{-1}$.

the large gap between the interaction energies of G versus A and C versus T that was discussed above. Interestingly, differences between the base substitution energies of the Mg$^{2+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ complexes in the gas phase are relatively small, especially for the inner-shell complexes, the largest $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ is of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$, and Mn$^{2+}$ complexes differ more than in the inner-shell case. The largest deviations are seen for the G → C and A → C replacements, where $\Delta E_{\text{int}}$ is −4.5 and −3.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. The differences between the base-substitution energies of the two metal ions are also considerable for the G → T and C → T replacements, 2.4 and 1.9 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. Inclusion of the sixth water ligand affects the polarization and charge-transfer terms in a larger extent for Mn$^{2+}$ than for Mg$^{2+}$, especially in the outer-shell complexes. Based on these results we hypothesized that incorporating the effect of bulk hydration into the interaction energies will result in lower, more realistic base substitution free-energies with greater difference between the two metal ions. Base substitution free-energies computed with $\Delta G_{\text{int}}$ values presented in Table 4 using a dielectric constant of 40 are summarized in Table 6. Base substitution free-energies obtained using $\epsilon = 60$ and $\epsilon = 78.4$ are presented in Table S4 and Table S5 of the Supporting Information.

As expected, the magnitude of the base substitution free-energies in solution lowered considerably as compared to the gas-phase values. This, however, does not increase the difference between the base substitution free-energies computed for the inner-shell complexes of the two metal ions. Similarly to the gas phase, base substitution free-energies vary for the two metal ions decreases as compared to the penta-hydrated forms. Similarly to the gas phase, the interaction energies of the Mg$^{2+}$ inner-shell complexes are larger (more negative) than those of Mn$^{2+}$, whereas Mn$^{2+}$ is preferred in outer-shell complexes in solution. As compared to the gas phase, the deviations between the interaction energies of the four nucleobase complexes decrease substantially in solution, due to screening of the electrostatic interactions in the bulk phase.
Conclusions

Interaction energies of Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Mn\textsuperscript{2+} complexes with the four nucleobases inevitably show that these metal ions exhibit preference for the same binding sites (atoms). Optimized structures of the corresponding complexes are, nevertheless, markedly different. Hydrated Mn\textsuperscript{2+}-nucleobase structures deviate more from the ideal octahedral arrangement and are more flexible than hydrated Mg\textsuperscript{2+} complexes. The tolerance for larger distortions in the Mn\textsuperscript{2+} complexes can result in different hydrogen-bonding patterns, formation of new contacts between the ligated water molecules and the nucleobase atoms can be induced, e.g., for cytosine. The larger variations in the coordination geometry might also imply greater flexibility of the Mn\textsuperscript{2+} complexes as it has been reflected by Mn\textsuperscript{2+} containing protein-DNA crystal structures.\textsuperscript{74}

It appears that all inner-shell complexes including a direct contact between the metal ions and the nucleobase favor Mg\textsuperscript{2+} over Mn\textsuperscript{2+}. In outer-shell complexes, however, the screening of the electrostatic interactions by the sixth water ligand brings the interaction energies of the two metal ions into closer agreement that indicates the preference of the purine bases for Mn\textsuperscript{2+} ions instead of Mg\textsuperscript{2+}. Interestingly, in both the inner- and outer-shell complexes, a large discrepancy was observed between the interaction energies of G versus A and C versus T, indicating a weaker complex between the latter bases and either metal ion. To unveil the reason, the interaction energies were partitioned to electronic, charge transfer, and core repulsion terms using the NEDA partition scheme. For G and C the electric term has a larger negative value than for A and T. The most significant deviation is observed in the electrostatic component that is accompanied by a marked distortion of the wave function upon complex formation. The dominance of the so-called nonelectrostatic terms, the polarization and charge-transfer terms, are not beneficial for complex formation as it was demonstrated for the A and T inner-shell Mn\textsuperscript{2+} complexes as compared to the corresponding Mg\textsuperscript{2+} systems. This reveals an important difference between the two metal ions: the more polarizable Mn\textsuperscript{2+} ions allow a larger charge flow to the metal ion (increasing the covalent character of the complex) that is disadvantageous for binding to the nucleobase. Indeed, for outer-shell complexes, where the discrepancy between the electrostatic terms computed for the two metal ions are smaller, the interaction energies are in closer agreement with each other.

Inclusion of the solvation free-energies demonstrate that bulk hydration modulates the balance between electrostatic and nonelectrostatic terms and thereby alters the preference of the two metal ions toward different nucleobases. In this sense, the DNA sequence context as well as the protein side-chains can modulate the metal ion binding affinities via simple solvation effects. Solvation was observed to decrease sequence discrimination for both metal ions but in a larger extent for Mn\textsuperscript{2+}.

Overall, we can conclude that the reduced selectivity of Mn\textsuperscript{2+} toward the nucleobases is due to two main factors: larger tolerance for distortions and larger polarizability that is coupled to less favorable solvation of the complexes. Thus the ab initio calculations provide useful insights into the molecular background of the discrepant binding properties of Mg\textsuperscript{2+} and Mn\textsuperscript{2+} ions at the nucleobase level and could outline those factors that can also affect selectivity of the two metal ions in more complex systems.
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